(3)

CHHOK

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 300 **/2004**

PETITIONER

JANARDAN PANDEY, AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS, SON OF LATE K.K. PANDEY, OCCUPATION – SERVICE, CONSTABLE IN D.S.B. (DISTRICT SPECIAL BRANCH) BHILAI, DURG (CHHATTISGARH)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH, THROUGH : THE SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HOME, D.K.S. BHAWAN, RAIPUR (C.G.)

2. STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH, THROUGH : THE SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HOME, VALLABH BHAWAN, BHOPAL, CHHATTISGARH

3. UNION OF INDIA, THROUGH : SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF HOME (DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL AND TRAINING, MINISTRY OF PERSONNNEL PUBLIC GRIEVANCES) LOKAYUKT, KHAN MARKET, (STATE REORGANIZATION CELL), NEW DELHI.

4. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, HEAD QUARTER, RAIPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

5. THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE (INTELLIGENT), (GUPT WARTA), RAIPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

Filed No. ..... Dt. 23.1.04
Presented by Shri Sushil Dubey
dated 23.1.04

Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

Copy Received
for V.O.G.
27.1.04.

6. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, DISTRICT – DURG (C.G.)

PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, QUO WARRANTO ETC.

(37)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.300 OF 2004

Petitioner : Janardan Pandey

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Rahul Mishra, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. V.V.S.Moorthy, Deputy Advocate General with Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh/respondents No.1, 4, 5 and 6.
Mr. Rajeev Shrivastava, counsel for respondent No.2.
Mr. S.K.Beriwal, counsel for respondent No.3.

ORDER

(Passed on 1st Day of February, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instruction, submits that the petitioner intends to withdraw this petition.

2. Thus, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal